

## ON THE TOPOLOGY OF POSITIVELY CURVED 4-MANIFOLDS WITH SYMMETRY

WU-YI HSIANG & BRUCE KLEINER

### 1. Introduction

A positively curved manifold is, by definition, a *complete* Riemannian manifold  $M$  with everywhere positive sectional curvature. The work of Gromoll and Meyer [6] gives a thorough understanding of noncompact positively curved manifolds, so we consider only compact positively curved manifolds, henceforth denoted CPCM's. Synge's theorem [10] asserts that an even dimensional, orientable CPCM is simply connected. This theorem together with the topological classification of compact surfaces implies that a 2-dimensional, orientable CPCM is homeomorphic to  $S^2$ . Three dimensional CPCM's have been determined by Hamilton [7]; they are diffeomorphic to space forms. However, very little is known about the topology of 4-dimensional CPCM's. The known examples are homeomorphic to  $S^4$ ,  $\mathbf{R}P^4$ , and  $CP^2$ , while the well-known problem of Hopf remains unsolved:

Does  $S^2 \times S^2$  admit a positively curved Riemannian metric?

The three known examples of compact 4-manifolds which admit positively curved metrics all admit *homogeneous* positively curved metrics, i.e. metrics with a lot of symmetry. Therefore it is natural to ask the following question: Which compact 4-manifolds admit positively curved Riemannian metrics with at least one infinitesimal isometry, in other words, a nontrivial Killing field? The main result of this paper answers this question.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M$  be a 4-dimensional orientable CPCM. If  $M$  has a nontrivial Killing vector field, then  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $S^4$  or  $CP^2$ .*

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $M$  be a 4-dimensional nonorientable CPCM. If  $M$  has a nontrivial Killing vector field, then  $M$  is two-fold covered by  $S^4$ .*

**Corollary 2.**  *$S^2 \times S^2$  does not admit a positively curved Riemannian metric with a nontrivial Killing field.*

Technically speaking, the existence of a nontrivial Killing vector field on a compact Riemannian manifold  $M$  is equivalent to the existence of a nontrivial  $S^1$ -action on  $M$ . Let  $F(S^1, M)$  be the fixed point set of such an  $S^1$ -action on

$M$ . Then it is easy to prove that the Euler characteristic of  $F(S^1, M)$  is equal to that of  $M$ , i.e.  $\chi(F(S^1, M)) = \chi(M)$ , and each connected component of  $F(S^1, M)$  is automatically a totally geodesic submanifold. In the special case where  $M$  is a 4-dimensional orientable CPCM, we will prove in Lemma 2 that

$$F(S^1, M) = \begin{cases} \chi(M) \text{ isolated points,} \\ \text{or } S^2 \cup (\chi(M) - 2 \text{ isolated points).} \end{cases}$$

The major task in the proof of Theorem 1 is proving that  $\chi(F(S^1, M))$  can be at most 3.

Actually, most of the techniques of this paper are equally applicable to the nonnegatively curved case. We believe that the following results are within reach:

**Conjecture 1.** *A 4-dimensional CPCM with a nontrivial Killing vector field should be diffeomorphic to  $S^4$ ,  $\mathbf{RP}^4$ , or  $\mathbf{CP}^2$ .*

**Conjecture 2.** *A compact, simply connected, nonnegatively curved 4-manifold with a nontrivial Killing vector field should be diffeomorphic to either  $S^4$ ,  $\mathbf{CP}^2$ ,  $\mathbf{CP}^2 \# \pm \mathbf{CP}^2$ , or  $S^2 \times S^2$ .*

Of course, it is possible that these theorems would remain true without the assumption on infinitesimal symmetry, but then their proofs would require completely new ideas and techniques.

## 2. The orbital geometry of $S^1$ -Riemannian manifolds

An  $S^1$ -Riemannian manifold is, by definition, a Riemannian manifold with a given isometric  $S^1$ -action. In this section we will establish some properties of the orbital geometry of a given  $S^1$ -Riemannian manifold  $(S^1, M)$ , especially in the case that  $M$  is a 4-dimensional orientable CPCM.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(S^1, M)$  be a compact  $S^1$ -Riemannian manifold and let  $F$  be its fixed point set. Then:*

- (i) *The Euler characteristic of  $F$  is equal to the Euler characteristic of  $M$ .*
- (ii) *Each connected component of  $F$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of even codimension.*

*Sketch of proof.* (For more details, see [8, Theorems 5.3 and 5.6].) (i) Let  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  be the unique cyclic subgroup of  $S^1$  of prime order  $p$  and let  $F(\mathbf{Z}_p, M)$  be the set of fixed points of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  in  $M$ . It follows from the long exact sequence of the pair  $(M, F(\mathbf{Z}_p, M))$  and the additivity of the Euler characteristic that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \chi(F(\mathbf{Z}_p, M)) + \chi(M, F(\mathbf{Z}_p, M)) \\ &\equiv \chi(F(\mathbf{Z}_p, M)) \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $F(\mathbf{Z}_p, M) = F$  for all sufficiently large primes. Hence  $\chi(F) \equiv \chi(M) \pmod{p}$  for all sufficiently large primes  $p$ , so  $\chi(F) = \chi(M)$ .

(ii) Let  $Y$  be a connected component of  $F$  and let  $v \in T_y Y$  be an arbitrary tangent vector of  $Y$  at  $y \in Y$ . Then  $v$  is fixed under the induced  $S^1$ -action on  $TM$ . Hence from the existence of a unique geodesic with initial velocity  $v$  it follows that such a geodesic is pointwise fixed under the  $S^1$ -action, and hence belongs to  $Y$ . This proves that  $Y$  is a totally geodesic submanifold in  $M$ . Since all nontrivial irreducible orthogonal representations of  $S^1$  are two-dimensional, the codimension of  $Y$  is necessarily even. *q.e.d.*

From now on we will always assume, without further specification, that  $(S^1, M^4, g)$  is a 4-dimensional, orientable CPCM with a given effective  $S^1$ -action and metric tensor  $g$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(S^1, M, g)$  be as above and let  $F$  be its fixed point set. Then  $F$  is nonempty and*

$$F = \begin{cases} \chi(M) \text{ isolated points,} \\ \text{or } S^2 \cup (\chi(M) - 2 \text{ isolated points}). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Synge's theorem [10] asserts that such an even dimensional manifold is always simply connected. Therefore,

$$H_1(M) = 0 \text{ and by duality } H_3(M) = 0, \\ \chi(M) = 2 + \dim H_2(M) \geq 2.$$

Hence by Lemma 1,  $\chi(F) \geq 2$  so  $F$  is nonempty. Moreover, Frankel's theorem [4] implies that  $F$  can have at most one 2-dimensional connected component.

Suppose  $F$  contains a 2-dimensional component  $Y$ . The normal bundle of  $Y$  is oriented by the  $S^1$ -action, so  $Y$  is orientable. Being totally geodesic as well,  $Y$  is positively curved and must therefore be homeomorphic to  $S^2$ . *q.e.d.*

Next let us consider the geometry of the orbit space  $\bar{M} = M/S^1$ . We will equip  $\bar{M}$  with the orbital distance metric: the distance between two elements of  $\bar{M}$  is the distance between the corresponding orbits in  $M$ . Let  $M_0$  be the union of all the principal  $S^1$ -orbits in  $M$  and let  $\bar{M}_0 = \pi(M_0)$  where  $\pi: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  is the canonical surjection. We give  $\bar{M}_0$  the unique smooth structure which makes  $\pi: M_0 \rightarrow \bar{M}_0$  a submersion, and the unique smooth Riemannian metric  $\bar{g}$  for which  $\pi: (M_0, g) \rightarrow (\bar{M}_0, \bar{g})$  is a Riemannian submersion.

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $F = S^2 \cup \{\text{isolated points}\}$ . Let  $\bar{S}^2 = \pi(S^2) \subset \bar{M}$ . Then the Riemannian structure  $(\bar{M}_0, \bar{g})$  extends to a Riemannian structure on  $N = \bar{M}_0 \cup \bar{S}^2$  with totally geodesic boundary  $\bar{S}^2$ . The distance function on  $N$  induced by this Riemannian structure coincides with the restriction of the orbital distance metric on  $\bar{M}$  to  $N \subseteq \bar{M}$ .*

*Proof.* The local geometry of  $\overline{M}$  near a point  $\pi(y) \in \overline{S^2}$  is determined by the geometry of the local representation at  $y \in S^2$ . This representation is equivalent to

$$\phi: S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2; \quad e^{i\theta}(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, e^{i\theta}z_2),$$

where  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , so the local structure of  $\overline{M}$  at  $\pi(y)$  is of the type

$$\mathbb{C}^2/S^1 \approx \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}/S^1) \simeq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+ = \text{a half space,}$$

i.e.,  $N = \overline{M}_0 \cup \overline{S^2}$  has a boundary structure near  $\overline{S^2}$ .

Geodesics in  $N = \overline{M}_0 \cup \overline{S^2}$  are the projections of geodesics in  $M$  which are perpendicular to the  $S^1$  orbits, so it follows that  $\overline{S^2}$  is totally geodesic in  $\overline{M}$ .

The distance function induced on  $N$  by the Riemannian structure coincides with the orbital distance metric on the dense subset  $\overline{M}_0$ , so it coincides with the orbital distance metric on all of  $N$ . q.e.d.

Let  $y \in M$  be an isolated fixed point. The slice representation at  $y$  is orthogonally equivalent to

$$\phi_{k,l}: S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2; \quad e^{i\theta}(z_1, z_2) = (e^{ik\theta}z_1, e^{il\theta}z_2),$$

where  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{g.d.c}(k, l) = 1$ . Let  $S^3(1) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  be the unit sphere and let  $d: S^3(1) \times S^3(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $d(v, w) = \angle(v, w) =$  the angle between  $v$  and  $w$ . Let  $(X_{kl}, d_{kl})$  be the orbit space of  $(\phi_{k,l}, S^3(1), d)$  with orbital distance metric  $d_{k,l}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  are arbitrary points in  $X_{k,l}$ , then*

$$d_{k,l}(x_1, x_2) + d_{k,l}(x_2, x_3) + d_{k,l}(x_3, x_1) \leq \pi.$$

*Proof.* The two great circles in  $S^3(1)$  given by  $z_1 = 0$  and  $z_2 = 0$  are orbits of  $\phi_{k,l}$  for all  $k, l$  with  $\text{g.c.d}(k, l) = 1$ . Let  $\tilde{X}_{k,l} = K_{k,l} \setminus \{\text{these two orbits}\}$ .  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$  consists of principal orbits, so we give it the Riemannian submersion metric coming from the canonical Riemannian metric on  $S^3(1)$ . We will be using the fact that this Riemannian submersion metric induces the distance function  $d_{k,l}$  on  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$ .

In the special case where  $k = l = 1$ , the projection  $\pi: S^3(1) \rightarrow X_{1,1}$  is the Hopf fibration and it is easily checked that  $X_{1,1}$  is isometric to a  $CP^1$  with diameter  $\pi/2$ , i.e.,  $X_{1,1}$  is isometric to  $S^2(1/2) \subseteq \mathbb{E}^3$ . Hence the inequality  $d_{1,1}(x_1, x_2) + d_{1,1}(x_2, x_3) + d_{1,1}(x_3, x_1) \leq \pi$  is obvious.

We now fix  $(k, l) \neq (1, 1)$ . The isometric  $T^2$ -action

$$T^2 \times S^3(1) \rightarrow S^3(1); \quad (e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}) \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (e^{i\theta_1}z_1, e^{i\theta_2}z_2)$$

induces an isometric  $S^1$ -action on the Riemannian manifold  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$ .  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$  is a connected noncomplete surface of revolution with diameter  $\pi/2$ , so it admits

a coordinate system  $(r, \theta): \tilde{X}_{k,l} \rightarrow (0, \pi/2) \times S^1$  such that the metric in these coordinates is  $ds^2 = dr^2 + (f(r))^2 d\theta^2$  where  $d\theta$  is the standard 1-form on  $S^1$ . By replacing  $r$  with  $\pi/2 - r$  if necessary, we can arrange that the latitude circle  $r = c$  corresponds to the orbit space of the torus  $T^2(c) = T^2(\cos c, \sin c) \subseteq S^3(1)$ . All the  $\phi_{k,l}$  orbits in  $T^2(c)$  have the same length and the function  $f(r)$  is determined by

$$2\pi f(c) (\text{the length of a } \phi_{k,l} \text{ orbit in } T^2(c)) = 4\pi^2 \cos c \sin c.$$

The orbits of  $\phi_{k,l}$  all have length  $\geq 2\pi$ , so  $f(c) \leq \cos c \sin c = \frac{1}{2} \sin 2c$ . Hence there is a *length nonincreasing* bijection of  $\tilde{X}_{1,1}$  onto  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$  which assigns points in  $\tilde{X}_{1,1}$  to points in  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$  with the same coordinates in  $(0, \pi/2) \times S^1$ . The inequality

$$d_{k,l}(x_1, x_2) + d_{k,l}(x_2, x_3) + d_{k,l}(x_3, x_1) \leq \pi$$

for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \tilde{X}_{k,l}$  now follows from the corresponding inequality already proved for  $(k, l) = (1, 1)$ . Since  $\tilde{X}_{k,l}$  is dense in  $X_{k,l}$ , Lemma 4 follows.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $\dim F = 2$ , then the local representation of  $S^1$  at every isolated fixed point must be equivalent to  $\phi_{1,1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be the 2-dimensional component of  $F$ . Then from the local representation of  $S^1$  on  $T_y M$ ,  $y \in Y$ , it follows that there exists a tubular neighborhood of  $Y$ , say  $U$ , such that the isotropy group is trivial for all  $x \in U \setminus Y$ .

Suppose there exists an isolated fixed point  $p \in F$  such that the local representation of  $S^1$  on  $T_p M$  is equivalent to  $\phi_{k,l}$ , g.c.d.  $(k, l) = 1$  and  $k > 1$ . Then  $F(\mathbf{Z}_k, M)$  contains at least two connected components of dimension 2. This contradicts the theorem of Frankel [4] which asserts that two such totally geodesic surfaces in  $M$  cannot be disjoint.

### 3. The proof of Theorem 1

Let  $M$  be a 4-dimensional orientable CPCM. Then by Synge's theorem [10]  $M$  is simply connected. We will exploit the orbital geometry of the given  $S^1$ -action to prove that  $\chi(M)$  is at most 3. It then follows directly from the work of Freedman [5] that  $M$  is homeomorphic to either  $S^4$  or  $CP^2$ . By Lemmas 1 and 2,  $\chi(M) = \chi(F)$  and

$$F(M) = \begin{cases} \chi(M) \text{ isolated points,} \\ \text{or } S^2 + (\chi(M) - 2) \text{ isolated points.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the proof of the theorem reduces to proving that  $F$  consists of at most three isolated points or  $S^2$  plus at most one more isolated point. We

will divide the proof into two cases according to  $\dim F = 0$  or  $2$  and we will prove each case by contradiction.

*Case 1,  $\dim F = 2$ .* Suppose  $F = S^2$  plus at least two isolated fixed points. Let  $p, q$  be two isolated fixed points and let  $\gamma$  be a minimizing geodesic segment in  $M$  joining  $p$  to  $q$ . Let  $\eta$  be a minimizing geodesic segment from  $S^2$  to  $S^1(\gamma)$  = the  $S^1$  orbit of  $\gamma$ ; hence  $\text{length}(\eta) = \text{dist}(S^2, S^1(\gamma))$ , and  $\eta$  has endpoints  $A \in S^2$  and  $B \in S^1(\gamma)$ . The isotropy group of the  $S^1$ -action does not vary along the interior of the minimizing segments  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$ , since otherwise they could be replaced with broken geodesic segments of the same length. Hence it follows from Lemma 5 that the interiors of  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$  lie in  $M_0$  = union of principal orbits in  $M$ .

Suppose  $B = p$ . By Lemma 5 the local representation of  $S^1$  at  $p$  is equivalent to  $\phi_{1,1}$ . Hence  $e^{i\theta} \cdot \gamma$  is perpendicular to  $\eta$  at  $p$  for all  $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$ . The second variation formula can now be applied to the geodesic segment  $\eta$  as in the proof of Frankel's theorem [4] to show that  $\text{length}(\eta) > \text{dist}(S^2, S^1(\gamma))$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\text{length}(\eta) = \text{dist}(S^2, S^1(\gamma))$ . The same argument rules out  $B = q$ .

Now suppose  $B$  lies in the interior of  $\gamma$ . Then the isotropy group of  $B$  is trivial, forcing  $\eta \subseteq M_0 \cup S^2$ . Let  $\bar{\gamma} = \pi(\gamma \setminus \{p, q\}) \subseteq \bar{M}_0$ , and  $\bar{\eta} = \pi(\eta) \subseteq \bar{M}_0 \cup \bar{S}^2 = N$ . By Lemma 3,  $N$  is a smooth Riemannian manifold with totally geodesic boundary, and since Riemannian submersions are always curvature nondecreasing (see [4]),  $N$  has sectional curvature everywhere  $\geq \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . An application of the second variation formula to the geodesic segment  $\bar{\eta} \subset N$  shows once again that  $\text{length}(\eta) > \text{dist}(S^2, S^1(\gamma))$ , contradicting  $\text{length}(\eta) = \text{dist}(S^2, S^1(\gamma))$ . Hence  $F$  can contain at most one isolated fixed point in addition to the  $S^2$ .

*Case 2,  $\dim F = 0$ .* Suppose  $F$  contains at least four isolated points,  $p_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Let  $l_{ij} = \text{dist}(p_i, p_j)$  and let  $C_{ij} = \{\gamma: [0, l_{ij}] \rightarrow M \mid \gamma \text{ is a minimizing geodesic segment from } p_i \text{ to } p_j\}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ . For each triple  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 4$  set

$$\alpha_{ijk} = \min\{\angle(\gamma'_j(0), \gamma'_k(0)) \mid \gamma_j \in C_{ij}, \gamma_k \in C_{ik}\}.$$

Note that the minimum exists because  $M$  is compact.

**Lemma 6.** For each triple of distinct integers  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 4$ ,

$$\alpha_{ijk} + \alpha_{kij} + \alpha_{jki} > \pi.$$

*Proof.* Let us assume, for notational simplicity, that  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$ . Set  $1/R^2 = \delta = \text{minimum of sectional curvature of } M$ . Choose  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  on  $S^2(R)$  such that the spherical triangle  $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  has  $l_{12}, l_{23}, l_{31}$  as its three lengths. Applying Toponogov's theorem [11] to an arbitrary triangle

with  $\gamma_{12} \in C_{12}$ ,  $\gamma_{23} \in C_{23}$ ,  $\gamma_{13} \in C_{13}$  as its three sides, one gets

$$\angle(\gamma'_{12}(0), \gamma'_{13}(0)) \geq \angle(\overline{x_1x_2}, \overline{x_1x_3}),$$

and hence, by the definition of  $\alpha_{123}$ , that  $\alpha_{123} \geq \angle(\overline{x_1x_2}, \overline{x_1x_3})$ . Therefore  $\alpha_{123} + \alpha_{312} + \alpha_{231} \geq$  the sum of interior angles of  $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3) > \pi$ . q.e.d.

From the above lemma it follows easily that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq 4 \\ j, k \neq i}} \alpha_{ijk} > 4\pi.$$

But, on the other hand, from Lemma 4 it is easily seen that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq 4 \\ j, k \neq i}} \alpha_{ijk} \leq \pi \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i \leq 4,$$

which gives a contradiction. Therefore  $F$  can have at most three isolated points when  $\dim F = 0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

### References

- [1] J. Cheeger, *Some examples of manifolds of nonnegative curvature*, J. Differential Geometry **8** (1973), 623–628.
- [2] J. Cheeger & D. Ebin, *Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [3] S. S. Chern, *Differential geometry, its past and future*, International Congress of Mathematicians, Nice, 1970.
- [4] T. Frankel, *Manifolds with positive curvature*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 165–174.
- [5] M. H. Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **17** (1982) no. 3, 357–453.
- [6] D. Gromoll & W. Meyer, *On complete open manifolds of positive curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969) 45–90.
- [7] R. Hamilton, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geometry **17** (1982) no. 2, 255–306.
- [8] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, Springer, New York, 1972.
- [9] B. O'Neill, *The fundamental equations of a submersion*, Michigan J. Math. **13** (1966), 459–469.
- [10] J. L. Synge, *On the connectivity of spaces of positive curvature*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 7 (1936), 316–320.
- [11] V. A. Toponogov, *Riemannian spaces with curvature bounded below*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. **14** (1959) no. 1, pp. 87–130.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY